UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

| To: □ U.S. District Judge / □ U.S. Magistrate Judge | |
|--|--|
| From: | , Deputy Clerk Date Received: |
| Case No.: | Case Title: |
| Document Entitled: _ | |
| Upon the submission | of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist: |
| □ Local Rule 11-3.1 □ Local Rule 11-3.8 □ Local Rule 11-4.1 □ Local Rule 19-1 □ Local Rule 15-1 □ Local Rule 11-6 □ Local Rule 11-8 □ Local Rule 7.1-1 □ Local Rule 6.1 □ Local Rule 56-1 □ Local Rule 7-19.1 □ Local Rule 7-19.1 □ Local Rule 7-19.1 □ Local Rule 5(d) □ Other: Note: Please researched ■ Rule Rule 5(d) | Document not legible Lacking name, address, phone and facsimile numbers No copy provided for judge Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel No proof of service attached to document(s) |
| IT IS HEREBY ORD | ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| ☐ The document is "received but not | to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped filed" with the Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may pursuant to Local Rule 83-7. |
| Date | U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge |
| | They Culler |
| Date | U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge |
| *The term "counsel" as | used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3. |